

On Non-primitive Weierstrass Gap Sequences of Genus 8

Jiryo KOMEDA*

Abstract

Let L be a *gap sequence*, i.e., a finite subset of the additive semigroup \mathbf{N} of non-negative integers whose complement $\mathbf{N} \setminus L$ in \mathbf{N} forms a subsemigroup of \mathbf{N} . Then the order of the set L is called its *genus*. If twice the smallest positive integer of $\mathbf{N} \setminus L$ is larger than the largest integer of L , we say that L is *primitive*. It is known that any gap sequence L of genus ≤ 7 (resp. any primitive gap sequence L of genus 8) is *Weierstrass*¹⁾, i.e., there exists a pointed complete non-singular irreducible algebraic curve (C, P) over the field \mathbf{C} of complex numbers such that $\mathbf{N} \setminus L$ is the set of integers which are pole orders at P of regular functions on $C \setminus \{P\}$. In this paper we investigate non-primitive gap sequences of genus 8. The result is that every non-primitive gap sequence except four sequences is Weierstrass.

§1. On non-primitive gap sequences of genus 8

For a gap sequence $L = \{l_0 < l_1 < \dots < l_{g-1}\}$ of genus g , $H(L)$ denotes the complement of L in \mathbf{N} , which is a subsemigroup of \mathbf{N} . Let $M(L)$ be the minimal set of generators for $H(L)$. We set

$$\alpha(L) = (\alpha_0(L), \alpha_1(L), \dots, \alpha_{g-1}(L)),$$

where $\alpha_i(L) = l_i - i - 1$ for any $i = 0, 1, \dots, g-1$. Then the following table shows all non-primitive gap sequences L of genus 8.

	L	$M(L)$	$\alpha(L)$
(1)	{1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15}	{2, 17}	(0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7)
(2)	{1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 11, 14}	{3, 10, 17}	(0, 0, 1, 1, 2, 2, 4, 6)
(3)	{1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 10, 13}	{3, 11, 16}	(0, 0, 1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 5)
(4)	{1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 10, 11}	{3, 13, 14}	(0, 0, 1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 3)
(5)	{1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 15}	{4, 6, 13}	(0 ³ , 1, 2, 3, 4, 7)
(6)	{1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13}	{4, 6, 15, 17}	(0 ³ , 1, 2, 3, 4, 5)
(7)	{1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 9, 10, 13}	{4, 7, 17}	(0 ³ , 1, 1, 3, 3, 5)
(8)	{1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 11, 15}	{4, 9, 10}	(0 ³ , 1 ³ , 4, 7)
(9)	{1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 10, 14}	{4, 9, 11}	(0 ³ , 1 ³ , 3, 6)
(10)	{1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11}	{4, 9, 14, 15}	(0 ³ , 1 ³ , 3, 3)
(11)	{1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 9, 13}	{4, 10, 11, 17}	(0 ³ , 1 ³ , 2, 5)
(12)	{1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 9, 11}	{4, 10, 13, 15}	(0 ³ , 1 ³ , 2, 3)

Received, 1993. 9. 22.

* Department of Liberal Arts.

(13)	{1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10}	{4, 11, 13, 14}	(0 ³ , 1 ³ , 2, 2)
(14)	{1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9, 14}	{5, 6, 13}	(0 ⁴ , 2 ³ , 6)
(15)	{1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9, 13}	{5, 6, 14}	(0 ⁴ , 2 ³ , 5)
(16)	{1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 11, 13}	{5, 7, 9}	(0 ⁴ , 1, 2, 4, 5)
(17)	{1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 13}	{5, 7, 11}	(0 ⁴ , 1, 2, 2, 5)
(18)	{1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 11}	{5, 7, 13, 16}	(0 ⁴ , 1, 2, 2, 3)
(19)	{1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 11, 12}	{5, 8, 9}	(0 ⁴ , 1, 1, 4, 4)
(20)	{1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 14}	{5, 8, 11, 12}	(0 ⁴ , 1, 1, 2, 6)
(21)	{1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 12}	{5, 8, 11, 14, 17}	(0 ⁴ , 1, 1, 2, 4)
(22)	{1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 11}	{5, 8, 12, 14}	(0 ⁴ , 1, 1, 2, 3)
(23)	{1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 13}	{5, 9, 11, 12}	(0 ⁴ , 1 ³ , 5)
(24)	{1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 12}	{5, 9, 11, 13, 17}	(0 ⁴ , 1 ³ , 4)
(25)	{1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 11}	{5, 9, 12, 13, 16}	(0 ⁴ , 1 ³ , 3)
(26)	{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 9, 15}	{6, 7, 10, 11}	(0 ⁵ , 2, 2, 7)
(27)	{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 11, 13}	{6, 8, 9, 10}	(0 ⁵ , 1, 4, 5)
(28)	{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 10, 13}	{6, 8, 9, 11}	(0 ⁵ , 1, 3, 5)
(29)	{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 15}	{6, 8, 10, 11, 13}	(0 ⁵ , 1, 2, 7)
(30)	{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 13}	{6, 8, 10, 11, 15}	(0 ⁵ , 1, 2, 5)
(31)	{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 14}	{6, 9, 10, 11, 13}	(0 ⁵ , 1, 1, 6)
(32)	{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 13}	{6, 9, 10, 11, 14}	(0 ⁵ , 1, 1, 5)
(33)	{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 15}	{7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13}	(0 ⁶ , 1, 7)

If the smallest positive integer of $H(L)$ is less than or equal to 5, then L is Weierstrass²⁻⁴⁾. Hence the first twenty five gap sequences of the above table are Weierstrass.

§2. On the gap sequence {1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 9, 15}

Let L be a gap sequence with $M(L) = \{a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_{n-1}\}$. Hereafter we use the following notation :

$$c_i = \text{Min}\{c \in \mathbf{N} > 0 \mid ca_i \in \langle a_0, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle\}$$

for all $i=0, 1, \dots, n-1$, where for positive integers b_1, \dots, b_m , $\langle b_1, \dots, b_m \rangle$ denotes the subsemigroup of \mathbf{N} generated by b_1, \dots, b_m .

Let $L = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 9, 15\}$. Then $M(L) = \{6, 7, 10, 11\}$. We set $a_0=6$, $a_1=7$, $a_2=10$ and $a_3=11$. Then we have the following relations :

$$3a_0 = a_1 + a_3, \quad 3a_1 = a_2 + a_3, \quad 2a_2 = a_0 + 2a_1 \quad \text{and} \quad 2a_3 = 2a_0 + a_2,$$

which imply that $c_0=3$, $c_1=3$, $c_2=2$ and $c_3=2$. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{vmatrix} 3 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & -1 \\ -1 & -2 & 2 \end{vmatrix} = 11 = a_3.$$

Hence $H(L)$ is 1-neat, which implies that L is Weierstrass⁵⁾.

§3. On the gap sequence $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 14\}$

In this section we shall show that $L = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 14\}$ is Weierstrass, i.e., there exists a pointed curve (C, P) such that $L = \mathbf{N} \setminus H(P)$, where $H(P)$ is the set of integers which are pole orders at P of regular functions on $C \setminus \{P\}$.

Let E be an elliptic curve over \mathbf{C} with the origin Q' . Let P'_1 be a point of E such that $P'_1 \neq Q'$ and $2P'_1 = Q'$, i.e., $2P'_1 \sim 2Q'$. Moreover, P'_2 denotes a point of E such that $P'_2 \neq Q'$, $P'_2 \neq P'_1$ and $-5P'_1 = 3P'_2$, i.e., $5P'_1 + 3P'_2 \sim 8Q'$. Take $z \in \mathbf{K}(E)$ such that $\text{div}(z) = 5P'_1 + 3P'_2 - 8Q'$, where $\mathbf{K}(E)$ denotes the function field of E . Let $\pi: C \rightarrow E$ be the surjective morphism corresponding to the inclusion $\mathbf{K}(E) \subset \mathbf{K}(E)(z^{1/8}) = \mathbf{K}(C)$. Let $y \in \mathbf{K}(C)$ and $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbf{K}(C)/\mathbf{K}(E))$ such that $\sigma(y) = \zeta_8 y$ and $\text{div}_E(y^8) = 5P'_1 + 3P'_2 - 8Q'$, where ζ_8 is a primitive 8-th root of unity. Then there are only two ramification points P_1 and P_2 over P'_1 and P'_2 respectively and the ramification indices are 8. Hence by the Riemann-Hurwitz relation the genus of C is 8.

Theorem 3.1. *We have $\mathbf{N} \setminus H(P_1) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 14\}$.*

Proof. We have

$$\text{div}(y) = 5P_1 + 3P_2 - \pi^*(Q')$$

and

$$\text{div}(dy) = 4P_1 + 2P_2 - 2\pi^*(Q') + \sum_{i=1}^3 \pi^*(R'_i),$$

where R'_i 's are points of E which are distinct from P'_1, P'_2 and Q' . For any $f \in \mathbf{K}(E)$, we set $\text{div}_E(f) = \sum_{P' \in E} n(P')P'$. Then for any $r \in \mathbf{N}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{div}\left(\frac{f dy}{y^{1-r}}\right) &= \{8n(P'_1) + 4 + 5(r-1)\}P_1 + \{8n(P'_2) + 2 + 3(r-1)\}P_2 \\ &+ \{n(Q') - r - 1\}\pi^*(Q') + \sum_{i=1}^3 \{n(R'_i) + 1\}\pi^*(R'_i) + \sum_{P' \in S} n(P')\pi^*(P'), \end{aligned}$$

where S is the set of points $P' \in E$ except P'_1, P'_2, Q' and R'_i 's. We set

$$\begin{aligned} D'_0 &= -P'_1 - P'_2 - Q' + \sum_{i=1}^3 R'_i, \quad D'_1 = -2Q' + \sum_{i=1}^3 R'_i, \\ D'_2 &= -3Q' + P'_1 + \sum_{i=1}^3 R'_i, \quad D'_3 = -4Q' + P'_1 + P'_2 + \sum_{i=1}^3 R'_i, \\ D'_4 &= -5Q' + 2P'_1 + P'_2 + \sum_{i=1}^3 R'_i, \quad D'_5 = -6Q' + 3P'_1 + P'_2 + \sum_{i=1}^3 R'_i, \\ D'_6 &= -7Q' + 3P'_1 + 2P'_2 + \sum_{i=1}^3 R'_i, \\ D'_7 &= -8Q' + 4P'_1 + 2P'_2 + \sum_{i=1}^3 R'_i. \end{aligned}$$

Then for each $r = 0, 1, \dots, 7, f \in L(D'_r)$ implies that $f dy/y^{1-r}$ is a holomorphic differential

form on C , where

$$L(D'_r) = \{f \in \mathbf{K}(E) \mid \operatorname{div}_E(f) \geq -D'_r\}.$$

Since we have

$$\sigma\left(\frac{dy}{y}\right) = \frac{d\sigma y}{\sigma y} = \frac{d\zeta_{8y}}{\zeta_{8y}} = \frac{dy}{y},$$

the form dy/y is regarded as a differential form on E . Hence there exists $f \in \mathbf{K}(E)$ such that $f dy/y$ is holomorphic. Then we must have

$$\operatorname{div}_E(f) = P'_1 + P'_2 + Q' - \sum_{i=1}^3 R'_i, \text{ i.e., } l(D'_0) = 1,$$

where for any divisor D we denote by $l(D)$ the dimension of the \mathbf{C} -vector space $L(D)$. Moreover, we have $l(D'_r) = 1$ for all $r = 1, 2, \dots, 7$, because of $\deg(D'_r) = 1$ for all $r = 1, 2, \dots, 7$. First we will show that $l(D'_1 - P'_1) = 0$. If $l(D'_1 - P'_1) > 0$, then we have

$$-2Q' + \sum_{i=1}^3 R'_i - P'_1 \sim D'_1 - P'_1 \sim 0 \sim D'_0 = -P'_1 - P'_2 - Q' + \sum_{i=1}^3 R'_i,$$

which implies that $P'_2 \sim Q'$. This is a contradiction. If $l(D'_2 - P'_1) > 0$, then we have

$$-3Q' + \sum_{i=1}^3 R'_i = D'_2 - P'_1 \sim D'_0 = -P'_1 - P'_2 - Q' + \sum_{i=1}^3 R'_i,$$

which implies that $P'_1 + P'_2 \sim 2Q' \sim 2P'_1$. This is a contradiction. If $l(D'_3 - P'_1) > 0$, then we have

$$-4Q' + P'_2 + \sum_{i=1}^3 R'_i = D'_3 - P'_1 \sim D'_0 = -P'_1 - P'_2 - Q' + \sum_{i=1}^3 R'_i,$$

which implies that $P'_1 + 2P'_2 \sim 3Q'$. Since we have

$$5P'_1 + 3P'_2 \sim 8Q' \sim 4Q' + 4P'_1,$$

we obtain

$$P'_1 + 2P'_2 + Q' \sim 4Q' \sim P'_1 + 3P'_2,$$

which implies that $Q' \sim P'_2$. This is a contradiction. If $l(D'_4 - P'_1) > 0$, then we have

$$-5Q' + P'_1 + P'_2 + \sum_{i=1}^3 R'_i = D'_4 - P'_1 \sim D'_0 = -P'_1 - P'_2 - Q' + \sum_{i=1}^3 R'_i,$$

which implies that

$$2P'_1 + 2P'_2 \sim 4Q' \sim P'_1 + 3P'_2.$$

This is a contradiction. If $l(D'_5 - P'_1) > 0$, then we have

$$-6Q' + 2P'_1 + P'_2 + \sum_{i=1}^3 R'_i = D'_5 - P'_1 \sim D'_0 = -P'_1 - P'_2 - Q' + \sum_{i=1}^3 R'_i,$$

which implies that

$$3P'_1 + 2P'_2 \sim 5Q' \sim Q' + P'_1 + 3P'_2.$$

Hence we have

$$2Q' \sim 2P'_1 \sim Q' + P'_2.$$

This is a contradiction. Now we have

$$6Q' \sim 2Q' + P'_1 + 3P'_2 \sim 2P'_1 + P'_1 + 3P'_2 = 3P'_1 + 3P'_2.$$

Hence we get

$$D'_6 - P'_1 = -7Q' + 2P'_1 + 2P'_2 + \sum_{i=1}^3 R'_i \sim Q' - P'_1 - P'_2 + \sum_{i=1}^3 R'_i = D'_0 \sim 0,$$

which implies that $l(D'_6) = l(D'_6 - P'_1) = 1$. If $l(D'_7 - P'_1) > 0$, then we have

$$-8Q' + 3P'_1 + 2P'_2 + \sum_{i=1}^3 R'_i = D'_7 - P'_1 \sim D'_0 = -P'_1 - P'_2 - Q' + \sum_{i=1}^3 R'_i,$$

which implies that

$$4P'_1 + 3P'_2 \sim 7Q' \sim 4P'_1 + 3Q'.$$

Hence we get

$$3P'_2 + Q' \sim 4Q' \sim P'_1 + 3P'_2.$$

This is a contradiction. By the above we have

$$l(D'_i - P'_1) = 0 \text{ for all } i \neq 6 \text{ and } l(D'_6 - P'_1) = 1, l(D'_6 - 2P'_1) = 0.$$

For each $r = 0, 1, \dots, 7$ we take a non-zero element $f_r \in L(D'_r)$ and we set $\phi_r = f_r dy/y^{1-r}$. Then by the above we see the following :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}_{P_1}(\phi_0) &= 8 - 1 = 7 = 8 - 1, & \text{ord}_{P_1}(\phi_1) &= 0 + 4 = 5 - 1, \\ \text{ord}_{P_1}(\phi_2) &= -8 + 9 = 1 = 2 - 1, & \text{ord}_{P_1}(\phi_3) &= -8 + 14 = 6 = 7 - 1, \\ \text{ord}_{P_1}(\phi_4) &= -16 + 19 = 3 = 4 - 1, & \text{ord}_{P_1}(\phi_5) &= -24 + 24 = 0 = 1 - 1, \\ \text{ord}_{P_1}(\phi_6) &= -16 + 29 = 13 = 14 - 1, \\ \text{ord}_{P_1}(\phi_7) &= -32 + 34 = 2 = 3 - 1. \end{aligned}$$

We note that $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus H(P_1)$ if and only if there exists a holomorphic differential form ϕ on C such that $\text{ord}_{P_1}(\phi) = n - 1$. Hence we obtain $\mathbb{N} \setminus H(P_1) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 14\}$. *Q.E.D.*

§4. On the gap sequence $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 11, 13\}$

For a gap sequence L , let $M(L) = \{a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_{n-1}\}$. We denote by φ_L the \mathbb{C} -algebra homomorphism from $\mathbb{C}[X] = \mathbb{C}[X_0, X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}]$ to $\mathbb{C}[L] = \mathbb{C}[t^h]_{h \in H(L)}$ defined by sending X_i to t^{a_i} for all i . Let I_L be the kernel of φ_L . In this case, we define a weight on $\mathbb{C}[X]$ through φ_L as follows: For any i , the weighted degree of X_i is a_i and for any non-zero element c of \mathbb{C} , the weighted degree of c is zero. For any monomial f in $\mathbb{C}[X]$, $w(f)$ denotes the weighted degree of f . In this section we shall show that $L = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 11, 13\}$ is Weierstrass.

Lemma 4.1. *The ideal I_L is generated by*

$$X_1^2 - X_0X_3, X_3^2 - X_0^2X_1, X_2^2 - X_1X_3 \text{ and } X_0^3 - X_1X_3.$$

Proof. We have $M(H) = \{6, 8, 9, 10\}$. Hence $a_0 = 6$, $a_1 = 8$, $a_2 = 9$ and $a_3 = 10$. Then we obtain relations

$$2a_1 = a_0 + a_3, 2a_3 = 2a_0 + a_1, 2a_2 = a_1 + a_3 \text{ and } 3a_0 = a_1 + a_3,$$

which imply that $c_1 = c_3 = c_2 = 2$ and $c_0 = 3$. Hence the ideal I_L contains

$$X_1^2 - X_0X_3, X_3^2 - X_0^2X_1, X_2^2 - X_1X_3 \text{ and } X_0^3 - X_1X_3.$$

Let J be the ideal generated by the above four elements. We may take as generators for the ideal I_L the following type :

$$F = \prod_i X_i^{\nu_i} - \prod_i X_i^{\mu_i}, \nu_i \mu_i = 0 \text{ for all } i^{(6)}.$$

We set $L = \prod_i X_i^{\nu_i}$. Then we may assume that F is one of the following types :

(1) $L = X_0L_0$ and $R = X_iX_jR_0$, $1 \leq i \leq j$, where L_0 and R_0 are elements of $\mathbb{C}[X]$ and R_0 does not contain X_0 .

(2) Neither L nor R contains X_0 , i.e., $L = X_lX_mL_0$, $1 \leq l \leq m$ and $R = X_iX_jR_0$, $1 \leq i \leq j$, where neither L_0 nor R_0 contains X_0 .

We consider the case (1). If $(i, j) = (1, 1)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} F &= X_0L_0 - X_1^2R_0 = X_0L_0 - (X_1^2 - X_0X_3)R_0 - X_0X_3R_0 \\ &\equiv X_0L_0 - X_0X_3R_0 \pmod{J}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $J \subseteq I_L$ and $X_0L_0 - X_1^2R_0 \in I_L$, we have $X_0L_0 - R_0X_0X_3 \in I_L$. Hence we obtain $L_0 - R_0X_3 \in I_L$, because I_L is a prime ideal which does not contain X_0 . Therefore we may decrease the weighted degree of F . Let $(i, j) = (1, 2)$. If L_0 contains either X_1 or X_2 , we may decrease the weighted degree of F . Hence we may assume that

$$\begin{aligned} F &= X_0X_3L_1 - X_1X_2R_0 = (X_0X_3 - X_1^2)L_1 + X_1^2L_1 - X_1X_2R_0 \\ &\equiv X_1^2L_1 - X_1X_2R_0 \pmod{J}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we may decrease the weighted degree of F . If $(i, j) = (1, 3)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} F &= X_0L_0 - X_1X_3R_0 = X_0L_0 - (X_1X_3 - X_0^3)R_0 - X_0^3R_0 \\ &\equiv X_0L_0 - X_0^3R_0 \pmod{J}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we may decrease the weighted degree of F . If $(i, j) = (2, 2)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} F &= X_0L_0 - X_2^2R_0 = X_0L_0 - (X_2^2 - X_1X_3)R_0 - (X_1X_3 - X_0^3)R_0 - X_0^3R_0 \\ &\equiv X_0L_0 - X_0^3R_0 \pmod{J}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we may decrease the weighted degree of F . If $(i, j) = (2, 3)$, then we may assume that

$$\begin{aligned} F &= X_0X_1L_1 - X_2^2R_0 = X_0X_1L_1 - (X_2^2 - X_1X_3)R_0 - X_1X_3R_0 \\ &\equiv X_0X_1L_1 - X_1X_3R_0 \pmod{J}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we may decrease the weighted degree of F . If $(i, j) = (3, 3)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 F &= X_0L_0 - X_3^2R_0 = X_0L_0 - (X_3^2 - X_0^2X_1)R_0 - X_0^2X_1R_0 \\
 &\equiv X_0L_0 - X_0^2X_1R_0 \pmod{J}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence we may decrease the weighted degree of F .

Next we consider the case (2). If (i, j) (resp. (l, m)) = (1, 1), (1, 3), (2, 2) or (3, 3), then this case is reduced to the case (1), because J contains

$$X_1^2 - X_0X_3, X_0^3 - X_1X_3, X_2^2 - X_1X_3 \text{ and } X_3^2 - X_0^2X_1.$$

Hence we may assume that (i, j) (resp. (l, m)) is one of the following: (1, 2), (2, 3). In the above cases we may decrease the weighted degree of F . Therefore we have $F \in J$. Hence the ideal I_L is generated by

$$X_1^2 - X_0X_3, X_3^2 - X_0^2X_1, X_2^2 - X_1X_3 \text{ and } X_0^3 - X_1X_3.$$

Q.E.D.

Let \mathbf{Z} be the additive group of integers. For any $i = 1, \dots, m$ we denote by e_i the vector in \mathbf{Z}^m whose i -th component is equal to 1 and whose j -th component is equal to 0 if $j \neq i$. A subsemigroup S of \mathbf{Z}^m is said to be *saturated* if the condition $nr \in S$, where n is a positive integer and r is an element of \mathbf{Z}^m , implies $r \in S$. Assume that the semigroup S is generated by b_1, b_2, \dots, b_q . Then S is saturated if and only if

$$\sum_{i=1}^q \mathbf{R}_+ b_i \cap \mathbf{Z}^m = \sum_{i=1}^q \mathbf{N} b_i = S,$$

where \mathbf{R}_+ denotes the set of non-negative real numbers.

Let S be the subsemigroup of \mathbf{Z}^4 generated by b_1, b_2, \dots, b_7 , where

$$b_i = e_i \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3, 4, b_5 = (1, 1, -1, 0), b_6 = (1, 0, -1, 1) \text{ and } b_7 = (1, 0, 0, 1).$$

Then we see the following:

Lemma 4.2. *The subsemigroup S of \mathbf{Z}^4 is saturated.*

Proof. Take $p = (p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) \in \sum_{i=1}^7 \mathbf{R}_+ b_i \cap \mathbf{Z}^4$. Then it suffices to show that $p \in S$.

Moreover, we may assume that $p = \sum_{i=1}^7 m_i b_i$ with $0 \leq m_i < 1$, all i . Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 p_1 &= m_1 + m_5 + m_6 + m_7 \geq 0, & p_2 &= m_2 + m_5 \geq 0, \\
 p_3 &= m_3 - m_5 - m_6 \geq -1, & p_4 &= m_4 + m_6 + m_7 \geq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

To prove that S is saturated it suffices to show that $p \in S$ if $p_3 = -1$. Let $p_3 = -1$, i.e., $m_3 + 1 = m_5 + m_6$, which implies that $m_5 > 0$ and $m_6 > 0$. Hence we may assume that

$$p_1 = 1, p_2 = 1 \text{ and } p_4 = 1.$$

Therefore $p = (1, 1, -1, 1) = b_5 + b_4 \in S$.

Q.E.D.

Now we have the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (c_{21} + c_{31})a_1 &= c_{10}a_0 + c_{13}a_3, & (c_{03} + c_{23})a_3 &= c_{30}a_0 + c_{31}a_1, \\
 c_{24}a_2 &= c_{21}a_1 + c_{23}a_3, & c_{21}a_1 + c_{23}a_3 &= (c_{30} + c_{10})a_0,
 \end{aligned}$$

where we set

$$c_{21} = c_{31} = c_{13} = c_{23} = c_{10} = 1, \quad c_2 = 2 \quad \text{and} \quad c_{30} = 2.$$

Hence we set

$$g_1 = X_1^{c_{21}}, \quad g_2 = X_1^{c_{31}}, \quad g_3 = X_6^{c_{10}}, \quad g_4 = X_3^{c_{23}}, \\ g_5 = X_3^{c_{13}}, \quad g_6 = X_0^{c_{30}} \quad \text{and} \quad g_7 = X_2^{c_2}.$$

Let

$$\pi : \mathbf{C}[Y] = \mathbf{C}[Y_1, \dots, Y_7] \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}[S] = \mathbf{C}[T^s]_{s \in S} \\ (\text{resp. } \eta : \mathbf{C}[Y] \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}[X] = \mathbf{C}[X_0, X_1, X_2, X_3])$$

be the \mathbf{C} -algebra homomorphism defined by $\pi(Y_i) = T^{b_i}$ (resp. $\eta(Y_i) = g_i$).

Lemma 4.3. *The ideal I_L is generated by the elements of the set $\eta(\text{Ker } \pi)$.*

Proof. Let

$$\zeta : \mathbf{C}[\mathbf{N}^4] = \mathbf{C}[t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4] \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}[L]$$

be the \mathbf{C} -algebra homomorphism defined by $\zeta(t_i) = t^{w(g_i)}$. Then ζ extends to $\zeta' : \mathbf{C}[S] \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}[L]$, because we have

$$w(g_1 g_2 g_3^{-1}) = c_{21} a_1 + c_{31} a_1 - c_{10} a_0 = c_{13} a_3 = w(g_5), \\ w(g_1 g_4 g_3^{-1}) = c_{21} a_1 + c_{23} a_3 - c_{10} a_0 = c_{30} a_0 = w(g_6), \\ w(g_1 g_4) = c_{21} a_1 + c_{23} a_3 = c_2 a_2 = w(g_7).$$

Moreover, by the above we obtain $\varphi_L \circ \eta = \zeta' \circ \pi$, which implies that $\eta(\text{Ker } \pi) \subseteq \text{Ker } \varphi_L = I_L$. Since we have

$$b_1 + b_2 = b_3 + b_5, \quad b_5 + b_4 = b_6 + b_2, \quad b_7 = b_1 + b_4 \quad \text{and} \quad b_1 + b_4 = b_6 + b_3,$$

$\text{Ker } \pi$ contains

$$Y_1 Y_2 - Y_3 Y_5, \quad Y_5 Y_4 - Y_6 Y_2, \quad Y_7 - Y_1 Y_4 \quad \text{and} \quad Y_1 Y_4 - Y_6 Y_3,$$

which implies that $\eta(\text{Ker } \pi)$ contains the generators for I_L because of Lemma 4.1. Hence we obtain our desired result. *Q.E.D.*

Theorem 4.4. *The gap sequence $L = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 11, 13\}$ is Weierstrass.*

Proof. By Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3, L is Weierstrass³⁾. *Q.E.D.*

§5. On the gap sequence $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 13\}$.

In this section we shall show that $L = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 13\}$ is Weierstrass. This proof is similar to that of §4. But it is more complicated. In this case we note that $M(L) = \{6, 8, 10, 11, 15\}$. Hence

$$a_0 = 6, \quad a_1 = 8, \quad a_2 = 10, \quad a_3 = 11 \quad \text{and} \quad a_4 = 15.$$

Lemma 5.1. *The ideal I_L is generated by*

$$\begin{aligned} & X_3X_4 - X_0X_2^2, X_0X_4 - X_2X_3, X_1X_4 - X_0^2X_3, \\ & X_2X_4 - X_0X_1X_3, X_0^3 - X_1X_2, X_1^2 - X_0X_2, \\ & X_2^2 - X_0^2X_1, X_3^2 - X_0X_1^2 \text{ and } X_4^2 - X_0^2X_1X_2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We obtain relations

$$\begin{aligned} & a_3 + a_4 = a_0 + 2a_2, a_0 + a_4 = a_2 + a_3, a_1 + a_4 = 2a_0 + a_3, \\ & a_2 + a_4 = a_0 + a_1 + a_3, 3a_0 = a_1 + a_2, 2a_1 = a_0 + a_2, \\ & 2a_2 = 2a_0 + a_1, 2a_3 = a_0 + 2a_1 \text{ and } 2a_4 = 2a_0 + a_1 + a_2, \end{aligned}$$

which imply that $c_0=3$ and $c_1=c_2=c_3=c_4=2$. Hence the ideal I_L contains all elements in the statement of Lemma 5.1. Let J be the ideal generated by the above nine elements. We may take as generators for the ideal I_L the following type :

$$F = \prod_i X_i^{\nu_i} - \prod_i X_i^{\mu_i}, \nu_i \mu_i = 0 \text{ for all } i.$$

We set $L = \prod_i X_i^{\nu_i}$ and $R = \prod_i X_i^{\mu_i}$. Then we may assume that F is one of the following types :

- (1) $L = X_4X_lL_0, 0 \leq l \leq 4$ and $R = X_iX_jR_0, 0 \leq i \leq j \leq 3$, where R_0 does not contain X_4 .
- (2) Neither L nor R contains X_4 .

We consider the case (1). If $l=0$, then we may assume that $(i, j)=(1, 1)$, because I_L contains $X_4X_0 - X_2X_3$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} F &= X_4X_0L_0 - X_1^2R_0 = X_4X_0L_0 - (X_1^2 - X_0X_2)R_0 - X_0X_2R_0 \\ &\equiv X_4X_0L_0 - X_0X_2R_0 \pmod{J}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we may decrease the weighted degree of F . If $l=1$, then we may assume that $(i, j)=(2, 2)$, because I_L contains $X_4X_1 - X_0^2X_3$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} F &= X_4X_1L_0 - X_2^2R_0 = X_4X_1L_0 - (X_2^2 - X_0^2X_1)R_0 - X_0^2X_1R_0 \\ &\equiv X_4X_1L_0 - X_0^2X_1R_0 \pmod{J}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we may decrease the weighted degree of F . If $l=2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F &= X_4X_2L_0 - X_iX_jR_0 \\ &= (X_4X_2 - X_0X_1X_3)L_0 + X_0X_1X_3L_0 - X_iX_jR_0 \pmod{J}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we may decrease the weighted degree of F . If $l=3$, then we may assume that $(i, j)=(1, 1)$, because I_L contains $X_4X_3 - X_0X_2^2$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} F &= X_4X_3L_0 - X_1^2R_0 \\ &= (X_4X_3 - X_0X_2^2)L_0 - (X_1^2 - X_0X_2)R_0 + X_0X_2^2L_0 - X_0X_2R_0 \\ &\equiv X_0X_2^2L_0 - X_0X_2R_0 \pmod{J}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we may decrease the weighted degree of F . If $l=4$, then we may assume that $(i, j)=(3, 3)$, because I_L contains $X_4^2 - X_0^2X_1X_2$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} F &= X_4^2L_0 - X_3^2R_0 \\ &= (X_4^2 - X_0^2X_1X_2)L_0 - (X_3^2 - X_0X_1^2)R_0 + X_0^2X_1X_2L_0 - X_0X_1^2R_0 \\ &\equiv X_0^2X_1X_2L_0 - X_0X_1^2R_0 \pmod{J}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we may decrease the weighted degree of F .

Next we consider the case (2). We set

$$L = X_l X_m L_0, \quad l \leq m \leq 3 \text{ and } R = X_i X_j R_0, \quad i \leq j \leq 3,$$

where neither L_0 nor R_0 contains X_4 . We may assume that $l < i$. Let $l = 0$. Then we have

$$F = X_0 X_m L_0 - X_i X_j R_0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq j.$$

If $(i, j) \neq (1, 3)$, we may decrease the weighted degree of F . If $(i, j) = (1, 3)$, we have $F = X_0 X_m L_0 - X_1 X_3 R_0$. Since I_L contains $X_0 X_2 - X_1^2$ and $X_0 X_4 - X_2 X_3$, we may decrease the weighted degree of F . Let $l = 1$. Then we have $F = X_1 X_m L_0 - X_i X_j R_0, 2 \leq i \leq j$. If $(i, j) = (2, 2), (2, 4), (3, 3)$ or $(4, 4)$, we may decrease the weighted degree of F . If $(i, j) = (2, 3)$ or $(3, 4)$, this case is reduced to the case $l = 0$, because I_L contains $X_2 X_3 - X_0 X_4$ and $X_3 X_4 - X_0 X_2^2$. Let $l = 2$. Then we have $F = X_2 X_m L_0 - X_i X_j R_0, 3 \leq i \leq j$. This case is reduced to the case $l = 0$. Let $l = 3$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} F &= X_3 X_m L_0 - X_4^2 R_0 = X_3 X_m L_0 - (X_4^2 - X_0^2 X_1 X_2) R_0 - X_0^2 X_1 X_2 R_0 \\ &\equiv X_3 X_m L_0 - X_0^2 X_1 X_2 R_0 \pmod{J}. \end{aligned}$$

Since I_L contains $X_3^2 - X_0 X_1^2$ and $X_3 X_4 - X_0 X_2^2$, we may decrease the weighted degree of F . *Q.E.D.*

Let S be the subsemigroup of \mathbf{Z}^5 generated by b_1, b_2, \dots, b_9 , where $b_i = e_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq 5$, $b_6 = (1, 1, -1, -1, 0)$, $b_7 = (1, -1, 0, 1, 0)$, $b_8 = (-1, 1, -1, 0, 1)$ and $b_9 = (1, 1, 0, -1, -1)$.

Then we see the following :

Lemma 5.2. *The subsemigroup S of \mathbf{Z}^5 is saturated.*

Proof. Take $p = (p_1, \dots, p_5) \in \sum_{i=1}^9 \mathbf{R}_+ b_i \cap \mathbf{Z}^5$. Then it suffices to show that $p \in S$. Moreover, we may assume that $p = \sum_{i=1}^9 m_i b_i$ with $0 \leq m_i < 1$, all i . Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 &= m_1 + m_6 + m_7 - m_8 + m_9 \geq 0, \\ p_2 &= m_2 + m_6 - m_7 + m_8 + m_9 \geq 0, \quad p_3 = m_3 - m_6 - m_8 \geq -1, \\ p_4 &= m_4 - m_6 + m_7 - m_9 \geq -1 \text{ and } p_5 = m_5 + m_8 - m_9 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

To prove that S is saturated it suffices to show that $p \in S$ if $(p_3, p_4) = (-1, -1)$ or $(-1, 0)$ or $(-1, 1)$ or $(0, -1)$. Let $(p_3, p_4) = (-1, -1)$ or $(0, -1)$. We have $m_4 + m_7 + 1 = m_6 + m_9$, which implies that $p_1 \geq 1$ and $p_2 \geq 1$. Hence we may assume that

$$p = (1, 1, -1, -1, 0) = b_6 \in S.$$

Let $(p_3, p_4) = (-1, 0)$ or $(-1, 1)$. We have $m_3 + 1 = m_6 + m_8$, which implies that $m_6 > 0$ and $p_2 \geq 1$. Moreover, we have

$$p_1 + p_5 = m_1 + m_5 + m_6 + m_7 \geq 1,$$

which implies that $p_1 \geq 1$ and $p_5 \geq 1$. Hence we let $p_1 \geq 1$ (resp. $p_5 \geq 1$). Then we may assume that

$$p = (1, 1, -1, 0, 0) = b_6 + b_4 \in S$$

$$(\text{resp. } p = (0, 1, -1, 0, 1) = b_8 + b_1 \in S).$$

Q.E.D.

Now we have the following relations:

$$c'_3 a_3 + c'_4 a_4 = c_{10} a_0 + (c_{02} + c_{12}) a_2, \quad c_{30} a_0 + c'_4 a_4 = c_{02} a_2 + c'_3 a_3,$$

$$c_{01} a_1 + c'_4 a_4 = (c_{10} + c'_0) a_0 + c'_3 a_3, \quad c_{12} a_2 + c'_4 a_4 = c'_0 a_0 + c_{21} a_1 + c'_3 a_3,$$

$$(c_{10} + c_{30} + c'_0) a_0 = c_{01} a_1 + c_{02} a_2, \quad (c_{01} + c_{21}) a_1 = c_{10} a_0 + c_{12} a_2,$$

$$(c_{02} + c_{12}) a_2 = (c_{30} + c'_0) a_0 + c_{21} a_1, \quad 2c'_3 a_3 = c_{30} a_0 + (c_{01} + c_{21}) a_1,$$

$$2c'_4 a_4 = (c_{10} + c'_0) a_0 + c_{21} a_1 + c_{02} a_2,$$

where all c'_i 's and c_{ji} 's are equal to 1. Hence we set

$$g_1 = X_3^{c'_3}, \quad g_2 = X_4^{c'_4}, \quad g_3 = X_0^{c_{10}}, \quad g_4 = X_2^{c_{02}}, \quad g_5 = X_1^{c_{01}},$$

$$g_6 = X_2^{c_{30}}, \quad g_7 = X_0^{c_{30}}, \quad g_8 = X_0^{c'_0} \quad \text{and} \quad g_9 = X_1^{c_{21}}.$$

Let

$$\pi : \mathbf{C}[Y] = \mathbf{C}[Y_1, \dots, Y_9] \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}[S] = \mathbf{C}[T^s]_{s \in S}$$

$$(\text{resp. } \eta : \mathbf{C}[Y] \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}[X] = \mathbf{C}[X_0, X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4])$$

be the \mathbf{C} -algebra homomorphism defined by $\pi(Y_i) = T^{b_i}$ (resp. $\eta(Y_i) = g_i$).

Lemma 5.3. *The ideal I_L is generated by the elements of the set $\eta(\text{Ker } \pi)$.*

Proof. Let

$$\zeta : \mathbf{C}[\mathbf{N}^5] = \mathbf{C}[t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5] \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}[L]$$

be the \mathbf{C} -algebra homomorphism defined by $\zeta(t_i) = t^{w(g_i)}$. Then ζ extends to $\zeta' : \mathbf{C}[S] \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}[L]$, because we have

$$w(g_1 g_2 g_3^{-1} g_4^{-1}) = c'_3 a_3 + c'_4 a_4 - c_{10} a_0 - c_{02} a_2 = c_{12} a_2 = w(g_6),$$

$$w(g_1 g_2^{-1} g_4) = c'_3 a_3 - c'_4 a_4 + c_{02} a_2 = c_{30} a_0 = w(g_7),$$

$$w(g_1^{-1} g_2 g_3^{-1} g_5) = -c'_3 a_3 + c'_4 a_4 - c_{10} a_0 + c_{01} a_1 = c'_0 a_0 = w(g_8),$$

$$w(g_1 g_2 g_4^{-1} g_5^{-1}) = c'_3 a_3 + c'_4 a_4 - c_{02} a_2 - c_{01} a_1$$

$$= c_{10} a_0 + c_{12} a_2 - c_{01} a_1 = c_{21} a_1 = w(g_9).$$

Moreover, by the above we obtain $\varphi_L \circ \eta = \zeta' \circ \pi$, which implies that $\eta(\text{Ker } \pi) \subseteq \text{Ker } \varphi_L = I_L$. Since we have

$$b_1 + b_2 = b_3 + b_4 + b_6, \quad b_7 + b_2 = b_4 + b_1, \quad b_5 + b_2 = b_3 + b_8 + b_1,$$

$$b_6 + b_2 = b_8 + b_9 + b_1, \quad b_3 + b_7 + b_8 = b_5 + b_4, \quad b_5 + b_9 = b_3 + b_6,$$

$$b_4 + b_6 = b_7 + b_8 + b_9, \quad 2b_1 = b_7 + b_5 + b_9 \quad \text{and} \quad 2b_2 = b_3 + b_8 + b_9 + b_4,$$

$\text{Ker } \pi$ contains

$$Y_1 Y_2 - Y_3 Y_4 Y_6, \quad Y_7 Y_2 - Y_4 Y_1, \quad Y_5 Y_2 - Y_3 Y_8 Y_1,$$

$$Y_6 Y_2 - Y_8 Y_9 Y_1, \quad Y_3 Y_7 Y_8 - Y_5 Y_4, \quad Y_5 Y_9 - Y_3 Y_6,$$

$$Y_4 Y_6 - Y_7 Y_8 Y_9, \quad Y_1^2 - Y_7 Y_5 Y_9 \quad \text{and} \quad Y_2^2 - Y_3 Y_8 Y_9 Y_4,$$

which implies that $\eta(\text{Ker } \pi)$ contains the generators for I_L because of Lemma 5.1. Hence we obtain our desired result. *Q.E.D.*

Theorem 5.4. *The gap sequence $L = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 13\}$ is Weierstrass.*

Proof. By Lemmas 5.2 and 5.3, L is Weierstrass³⁾. *Q.E.D.*

By §1, 2 and Theorems 3.1, 4.4, 5.4, we get the following :

MAIN THEOREM. *Every non-primitive gap sequence of genus 8 except the four sequences $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 10, 13\}$, $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 15\}$, $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 13\}$ and $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 15\}$ is Weierstrass.*

I do not know whether the above four gap sequences are Weierstrass.

References

- 1) J. Komeda : On the existence of Weierstrass points in the lower genus cases. Research Reports of Kanagawa Institute of Technology **B-16** (1992) 325-336.
- 2) C. Maclachlan : Weierstrass points on compact Riemann surfaces. J. London Math. Soc. **3** (1971) 722-724.
- 3) J. Komeda : On Weierstrass points whose first non-gaps are four. J. reine angew. Math. **341** (1983) 68-86.
- 4) J. Komeda : On the existence of Weierstrass points whose first non-gaps are five. Manuscripta Math. **76** (1992) 193-211.
- 5) J. Komeda : On the existence of Weierstrass points with a certain semigroup generated by 4 elements, Tsukuba J.Math. **6** (1982) 237-270.
- 6) J. Herzog : Generators and relations of abelian semigroups and semigroup rings. Manuscripta Math. **3** (1970) 175-193.